

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,846	11/18/2003	Chaitan Khosla	STAN-258CIP	6797	
24353 BOZICEVIC	24353 7590 04/30/2007 BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP		EXAMINER		
1900 UNIVER	SITY AVENUE		AUDET, N	AUDET, MAURY A	
SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2.101111201	1210, 0117 1303		1654		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	. DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/30/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

7,	1	· ·	щ			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/716,846	KHOSLA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maury Audet	1654			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,			
WHIO - Extended after - If No - Failer Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	une 2006.				
, 2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,11-14 and 19-25</u> is/are pending	g in the application.				
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-22 is/are withdray					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,11-14 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected	d. ·	•			
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•			
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)] The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196	a)-(d) or (f).			
•	ı) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,				
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been received	ved in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
.*	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	red.			
Attachme	ent(s)					
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
2) Not	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application			
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/07</u> .	6) Other:	r acontripphocators			

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and response of 1/23/07 is acknowledged. The present action is made NON-FINAL based on a new rejection (112 2nd) that was omitted inadvertently.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's new election with traverse of Group I, claims 1, 3-5, 11-14, and 23-25 as drawn to a new elected compound species: [(S)-1-[(3-Bromo-4,5-dihydro-isoxazol-5-ylmethyl)-carbamoyl]-2-(4-hydroxy-phenyl)-ethyl]-carbamic acid benzyl ester (e.g. claim 23 and of the genus of the formula of claim 1), is acknowledged. The traversal is deemed moot, as the Examiner is willing to accept this new species election, as well as rejoin the amended genus formula of claim 1.

Claims 1, 3-5, 11-14, and 23-25 are examined on the merits as drawn to the new elected compound species [(S)-1-[(3-Bromo-4,5-dihydro-isoxazol-5-ylmethyl)-carbamoyl]-2-(4-hydroxy-phenyl)-ethyl]-carbamic acid benzyl ester, he genus of the formula of claim 1 and for use in the method of treating Celiac Sprue (elected species) using the elected compound of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 2nd

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,846

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1, 3-5, 11-14, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In e.g. claim 1, it is unclear what "effective dose" is contemplated to attenuate gluten toxicity in a patient with Celiac Sprue? The specification description was not found to clarify this issue. MPEP 2173.05(c) recites that:

III. "EFFECTIVE AMOUNT"

The common phrase "an effective amount" may or may not be indefinite. The proper test is whether or not one skilled in the art could determine specific values for the amount based on the disclosure. See In re Mattison, 509 F.2d 563, 184 USPQ 484 (CCPA 1975). The phrase "an effective amount . . . for growth stimulation" was held to be definite where the amount was not critical and those skilled in the art would be able to determine from the written disclosure, including the examples, what an effective amount is. In re Halleck, 422 F.2d 911, 164 USPQ 647 (CCPA ·1970). The phrase "an effective amount" has been held to be indefinite when the claim fails to state the function which is to be achieved and more than one effect can be implied from the specification or the relevant art. In re Fredericksen 213 F.2d 547, 102 USPQ 35 (CCPA 1954). The more recent cases have tended to accept a limitation such as "an effective amount" as being definite when read in light of the supporting disclosure and in the absence of any prior art which would give rise to uncertainty about the scope of the claim. In Ex parte Skuballa, 12 USPQ2d 1570 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), the Board held that a pharmaceutical composition claim which recited an "effective amount of a compound of claim 1" without stating the function to be achieved was definite, particularly when read in light of the supporting disclosure which provided guidelines as to the intended utilities and how the uses could be effected.

Claim Objections

Claim 22, line 2, is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "tTGase" should be deleted and the phrase --the tissue transglutaminase (tTGase)-- inserted into this new independent claim, for clarity. [Likewise for currently withdrawn claim 19].

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 571-272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecelia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 4/14/2007

MAURY AUDET PATENT EXAMINER